UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,009	08/20/2003	Keisuke Takeuchi	501.42998X00	5183	
	7590 07/03/2007 TERRY, STOUT & KRA	EXAMINER			
1300 NORTH S	SEVENTEENTH STREE	TRAN, PHILIP B			
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2155	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/03/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

officeaction@antonelli.com dprater@antonelli.com tsampson@antonelli.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/644,009	TAKEUCHI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Philip B. Tran	2155		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 16(a). In no event, however, may a repl rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 September 2003.				
- '=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) rr No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/20/2003</u> .	5) L Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070620

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 11/26/2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the foreign application (Japan 2002-341667) as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the address translation rule" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "said address translation rule" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "said address translation rule" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "said address translation rule" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 11 recites the limitations "the address" and "said address translation table" in lines 11-13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070620

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-12, 14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kubota et al (Hereafter, Kubota), U.S. Pat. No. 6,934,763.

Regarding claim 1, Kubota teaches an address translator (= relay system) comprising an interface connected to a plurality of communication networks including at least a first communication network connected to a first host and a second communication network connected to a second host (= interface connected to a plurality of network domains) [see Fig. 1] and a table for translating addresses used in said first communication network and addresses used in said second communication network (= address translation table 7) [see Figs. 3 & 7 and Col. 7, Lines 42-48], wherein said translation table contains discriminator to judge whether said address is native address given to said first host or said second host or not (= inter domain connection judging module 4a) [see Fig. 3 and Col. 8, Lines 30-55 and Col. 9, Lines 15-62 and Col. 11, Lines 1-20].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070620

Regarding claim 2, Kubota teaches an address translator comprising:

an interface connected to a plurality of communication networks including at least a first communication network connected to a first host and a second communication network connected to a second host (= interface connected to a plurality of network domains) [see Fig. 1];

a memory (= memory 13) [see Fig. 2] storing address used in said first communication network, address used in said second communication network (= address translation table 7) [see Figs. 3 & 7 and Col. 7, Lines 42-48], and discriminator to judge whether said address is the native address or not of said first host or said second host (= inter domain connection judging module 4a) [see Fig. 3 and Col. 8, Lines 30-55 and Col. 9, Lines 15-62 and Col. 11, Lines 1-20]; and

a controller for executing translation of the address of said first communication network and said second communication network (= CPU 14) [see Fig. 2 and Col. 6, Lines 35-62].

Regarding claim 3, Kubota further teaches the address translator according to claim 1, wherein the address translation rule of the address used in said first communication network and the address used in said second communication network and said discriminator are recorded in said table when said address translation is executed (= address mapping and translation rule) [see Col. 8, Line 30 to Col. 9, Line 4].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070620

Regarding claim 4, Kubota further teaches the address translator according to claim 2, wherein said controller records, to said memory, said address translation rule of the address used in said first communication network and the address used in said second communication network and said discriminator when said address translation is executed (= address mapping and translation rule) [see Col. 8, Line 30 to Col. 9, Line 4].

Regarding claim 5, Kubota further teaches the address translator according to claim 1, comprising a memory for storing said table (= memory 13) [see Fig. 2].

Regarding claim 6, Kubota further teaches the address translator according to claim 3, comprising a means for receiving setting instructions for said address translation rule from said first host or said second host [see Figs 1 & 3 and Col. 7, Lines 23-36 and Col. 8, Line 30 to Col. 9, Line 4].

Regarding claim 7, Kubota further teaches the address translator according to claim 4, comprising a means for inputting said address translation rule and a means for receiving setting instructions of said address translation rule from said first host or said second host [see Figs 1 & 3 and Col. 7, Line 23 to Col. 8, Line 14 and Col. 8, Line 30 to Col. 9, Line 4 and Col. 9, Lines 15-62].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070620

Regarding claim 8, Kubota further teaches the address translator according to claim 1, comprising a console unit which displays said table [see Figs. 1 & 3 and Col. 7, Line 41 to Col. 8, Line 55].

Regarding claim 9, Kubota further teaches the address translator according to claim 2, comprising a console unit which displays contents of said memory [see Figs. 1 & 3 and Col. 7, Line 41 to Col. 8, Line 55].

Regarding claim 10, Kubota teaches a method for translating addresses comprising the steps of:

receiving packets transmitted from a first host via a first communication network (= receiving packets) [see Figs. 1 & 3 and Col. 7, Lines 23-41 and Col. 9, Lines 5-9];

translating said packets expressed with the protocol of said first communication network into the protocol of a second communication network connected with second hosts (= address mapping using address translation table 7 with different routing control rules) [see Figs. 3 & 7 and Col. 3, Line 60 to Col. 4, Line 28 and Col. 7, Lines 42-48];

generating an address translation rule for translation of said protocol (= address mapping and translation rule) [see Col. 8, Line 30 to Col. 9, Line 4]; and

registering discriminator for discriminating whether the address of said protocol is actually granted to said first host or said second host and said address translation rule to the address translation table (= inter domain connection judging module 4a) [see Fig. 3 and Col. 8, Lines 30-55 and Col. 9, Lines 15-62 and Col. 11, Lines 1-20].

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070620

Regarding claim 11, Kubota teaches a method for translating addresses comprising the steps of:

receiving packets transmitted from hosts via the transmission source communication network (= receiving packets) [see Figs. 1 & 3 and Col. 7, Lines 23-41 and Col. 9, Lines 5-9]; and

translating said packets expressed with the protocol of said transmission source communication network to the protocol of the transmission destination communication network of said packets (= address mapping using address translation table 7 with different routing control rules) [see Figs. 3 & 7 and Col. 3, Line 60 to Col. 4, Line 28 and Col. 7, Lines 42-48]; wherein

said step of translating said protocol further comprising the steps of: searching the address used in said transmission destination communication network by referring to said address translation table (= searching the address entry in the address translation table) [see Col. 8, Line 37 to Col. 9, Line 59]; and

judging whether the transmission source address of said packet is actually granted to said host or not (= inter domain connection judging module 4a) [see Fig. 3 and Col. 8, Lines 30-55 and Col. 9, Lines 15-62 and Col. 11, Lines 1-20].

Regarding claim 12, Kubota further teaches the method of translating addresses according to claim 11, further comprising a step of discarding said received packet if the

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070620

address of said received packet is not actually granted to said host [see Col. 11, Lines 1-20 and Col 16, Lines 40-58 and Col. 17, Lines 29-36].

Regarding claim 14, Kubota further teaches the method for translating addresses according to claim 11, further comprising a step of assigning the address used in said transmission destination communication network if it is proved as a result of said search that the address used in said transmission destination communication network is not yet assigned to said host [see Col. 8, Line 37 to Col. 9, Line 59].

Regarding claim 17, Kubota further teaches the method for translating addresses according to claim 11, said translation of protocol is executed in the timing that setting instructions of address translation rule from said host are generated [see Col. 2, Lines 16-33 and Col. 11, Lines 1-67].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070620

7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubota et al (Hereafter, Kubota), U.S. Pat. No. 6,934,763 in view of Cain, U.S. Pat. No. 7,068,600.

Regarding claim 13, Kuboka does not explicitly teach notifying that said received packet has been discarded to said transmission source communication network.

However, Cain, in the same field of data routing with a network of plurality of connected nodes endeavor, discloses discarding received packet and notifying the source node [see Cain, Col. 8, Lines 15-21]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kuboka in order to efficiently control transmission of data packets in the network in such a manner that unauthorized packets will not be translated and transmitted to the destination node and thus reducing the network traffic.

8. Claims 15-16 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubota et al (Hereafter, Kubota), U.S. Pat. No. 6,934,763 in view of Fraser et al (Hereafter, Fraser), U.S. Pat. No. 6,629,149.

Regarding claim 15, Kubota further teaches the method for translating addresses according to claim 14, further comprising the step of recording the transmission source address of said packet, said assigned address, and the discriminator suggesting that said assigned address is an address to said address translation table [see Kubota, Col. 8, Line 37 to Col. 9, Line 59]. Kubota does not explicitly teach assigned address is a temporary address. However, Fraser, in the same field of network addressing endeavor,

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070620

discloses assigning temporary address [see Fraser, Col. 2, Lines 5-24]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Fraser into the teaching of Kubota in order to quickly identify whether the devices in different network domains have a temporary or a permanent address assigned according to address translation table entries.

Regarding claim 16, Kubota further teaches the method for translating addresses according to claim 15, wherein the newly received packet is discarded when the packet having said assigned address which is set as the destination address through said translation of protocol is newly received [see Kubota, Col. 11, Lines 1-20 and Col 16, Lines 40-58 and Col. 17, Lines 29-36]. Kubota does not explicitly teach assigned address is a temporary address. However, Fraser, in the same field of network addressing endeavor, discloses assigning temporary address [see Fraser, Col. 2, Lines 5-24]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Fraser into the teaching of Kubota for the same reasons set forth in claim 15.

Regarding claim 18, Kubota further teaches the method for translating addresses according to claim 14, comprising the steps of:

receiving a request inquiring said destination address used in the transmission destination communication network from said transmission source communication

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070620

network by designating the name of destination of the packet [see Kubota, Figs. 1 & 3 and Col. 7, Lines 23-41 and Col. 9, Lines 5-9];

transferring said inquiry request to a server storing the corresponding relationship between said address and name [see Kubota, Figs. 8-9]; and

receiving a response to said inquiry request from said server whereby said address is assigned, upon reception of said response from said server [see Kubota, Col. 8, Line 37 to Col. 9, Line 59 and Col. 15, Lines 33-67]. Kubota does not explicitly teach assigned address is a temporary address. However, Fraser, in the same field of network addressing endeavor, discloses assigning temporary address [see Fraser, Col. 2, Lines 5-24]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Fraser into the teaching of Kubota for the same reasons set forth in claim 15.

Regarding claim 19, Kubota further teaches the method for translating addresses according to claim 18, further comprising a step of registering the discriminator suggesting that said assigned address is the address according to said address translation table [see Kubota, Col. 8, Line 37 to Col. 9, Line 59]. Kubota does not explicitly teach assigned address is a temporary address. However, Fraser, in the same field of network addressing endeavor, discloses assigning temporary address [see Fraser, Col. 2, Lines 5-24]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Fraser into the teaching of Kubota for the same reasons set forth in claim 15.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070620

9. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubota et al (Hereafter, Kubota), U.S. Pat. No. 6,934,763 in view of Cain, U.S. Pat. No. 7,068,600 and further in view of Fraser et al (Hereafter, Fraser), U.S. Pat. No. 6,629,149.

Regarding claim 20, Kubota further teaches the method for translating addresses according to claim 15, wherein the address corresponding to the discriminator suggesting said address is the native address of the host registered to said transmission source communication network or said transmission destination communication network [see Kubota, Col. 8, Line 37 to Col. 9, Line 59].

Kuboka does not explicitly teach occurrence of fault is notified to said transmission source and transmission destination communication network. However, Cain, in the same field of data routing with a network of plurality of connected nodes endeavor, discloses discarding received packet and notifying the source node if fault is occurred (unauthorized condition) [see Cain, Col. 8, Lines 15-21]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kuboka in order to efficiently control transmission of data packets in the network in such a manner that unauthorized packets (fault occurrence) will not be translated and transmitted to the destination node and thus reducing the network traffic.

In addition, Kubota and Cain do not explicitly teach assigned address is a temporary address. However, Fraser, in the same field of network addressing endeavor, discloses assigning temporary address [see Fraser, Col. 2, Lines 5-24]. It would have

Paper Dated 20070620

Art Unit: 2155

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Fraser into the teaching of Kubota and Cain in order to quickly identify whether the devices in different network domains have a temporary or a permanent address assigned according to address translation table entries.

Regarding claim 21, Kubota further teaches the method for translating addresses according to claim 18, wherein the discriminator suggesting that the address notified with the response from said server is the address is registered.

Kuboka does not explicitly teach occurrence of fault is notified to said transmission source communication network. However, Cain, in the same field of data routing with a network of plurality of connected nodes endeavor, discloses discarding received packet and notifying the source node if fault is occurred (unauthorized condition) [see Cain, Col. 8, Lines 15-21]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kuboka in order to efficiently control transmission of data packets in the network in such a manner that unauthorized packets (fault occurrence) will not be translated and transmitted to the destination node and thus reducing the network traffic.

In addition, Kubota and Cain do not explicitly teach assigned address is a temporary address. However, Fraser, in the same field of network addressing endeavor, discloses assigning temporary address [see Fraser, Col. 2, Lines 5-24]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Fraser into the teaching of Kubota and Cain in order to

Art Unit: 2155

Paper Dated 20070620

quickly identify whether the devices in different network domains have a temporary or a permanent address assigned according to address translation table entries.

Other References Cited

- 10. The following references cited by the examiner but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - A) Borella et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,708,219.
 - B) Boden et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,832,322.
 - C) Kong, U.S. Pat. No. 7,206,312.
 - D) Khello et al, U.S. Pat. No. 7,027,582.
 - E) Boden et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,615,357.
 - F) Carey et al, U.S. Pat. No. 7,085,267.
 - G) Brustoloni et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,886,103.
 - H) Yamaguchi et al, U.S. Pat. No. 7,233,995.
 - I) Satapati et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2004/0076180 A1.
 - J) Zhang et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2004/0001509 A1.
- 11. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). EXTENSIONS OF TIME MAY BE OBTAINED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CAR 1.136(A).

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20070620

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3991. The Group fax phone number is (571) 273-8300. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar, can be reached on (571) 272-4006.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PHILIP TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2155 June 20, 2007